

## REMARKS

Claims 4 and 10 were rejected under 35 USC 101. In response, Applicant has amended claim 4 with the element that facilitates the monitoring. Now that claim 4 has a particle detector, there is a result from the device as claimed. Furthermore, claim 10 has been cancelled. New claim 11 is identical to amended claim 4 and provides even more limitations.

Claims 4 and 10 were rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph. In response, Applicant has amended claim 4 with the element that facilitates the monitoring. Now that claim 4 has a particle detector, there is a result from the device as claimed. Furthermore, claim 10 has been cancelled. New claim 11 is identical to amended claim 4 and provides even more limitations.

Claim 10 was rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Dougan et al (Dougan: US Patent 6,884,639). In response, claim 10 has been canceled.

***Any and all extensions of time are hereby requested and petitioned for. Please charge any and all fees due and owing to Deposit Account 500356 in the name of Greenberg & Lieberman – A + Legal Services.***

Respectfully submitted,

/mlg/

Michael L. Greenberg, Esq.

Registration Number 47,312